

Airport Surface Surveillance: An Alternate Approach

Kirk Swanson Architecture Technology Corporation
Dan Hicok Federal Aviation Administration





Introduction

- ◆ Surface Surveillance Today
- ◆ The Problem
- ◆ Service Model Approach
- ◆ Levels of Service and Maintenance Support
- ◆ Benefits
- ◆ Challenges

The Current Surveillance Picture



- ◆ FAA tasked to provide safe and efficient operations within the National Airspace System (NAS)
- ◆ Airport surface movement area is a critical component
- ◆ Systems in use today utilize comprehensive surface surveillance:

ASDE-3/AMASS



ASDE-X





The Problem

- ◆ 488 towered airports in the NAS
- ◆ 59 airports have or are slated to receive comprehensive surface surveillance systems
- ◆ Many of the “beyond 59” airports have significant needs that are not being met

Difficulties with Today's Model



- ◆ Available systems are complex
- ◆ High initial acquisition costs
- ◆ Reliability and availability requirements further increase costs
- ◆ FAA or sole-source maintenance/repairs
- ◆ Smaller airports do not pass the cost-benefit equation to justify acquisition of the systems that are currently available

A different approach is needed



Service Model Approach

- ◆ Commercial world has adopted the use of “service providers” successfully in many areas:
 - Internet service
 - Telephone
 - ◆ Long distance carriers
 - ◆ Cellular providers
 - Information Technology
- ◆ Competition between providers ensures responsiveness to customers
- ◆ Service can be independent of hardware:
 - Not bound to any single technology
 - Flexibility to upgrade as technology advances



Surveillance Service Provider

- ◆ Provide airport surface surveillance as a service, rather than as an acquired product
- ◆ Could have a pool of providers to select from
- ◆ Different airports have different needs - one size does *not* fit all.
- ◆ Service needs can span range:
 - Operational/control decision making
 - Visibility augmentation



Levels of Service

- ◆ Operational/control decisions demand:
 - High accuracy
 - System redundancy
 - High operational availability and reliability
- ◆ Visibility augmentation has lower demands:
 - Presence detection with lower positional accuracy
 - Single-unit system
 - Not used for control decisions
 - Advisory/supplementary in nature



Selection Process

- ◆ FAA defines levels of surveillance service and requirements associated with each level
- ◆ Vendor applies a specific system to be tested at a given level
- ◆ System run through evaluation and certification process
- ◆ Qualified systems are added to provider catalog (similar to Advisory Circular approach)
- ◆ Airports are empowered to choose and enabled to have surveillance of desired level

System Maintenance Support



- ◆ Current systems are supported by the FAA or sole-source contracts
- ◆ Service model allows for more flexible approach
- ◆ Various levels of maintenance support could be made available:
 - Full-time contractor-supplied maintenance technician
 - On-call contractor technician
 - On-site sparing with local technician training
 - Phone support from service provider

Maintenance Support Benefits



- ◆ Current FAA budgeting method calculates 20-year lifecycle costs of system. This can include:
 - General maintenance costs
 - Maintenance technician training
 - FAA parts depot
 - Engineering test platform and/or facilities
- ◆ Many systems fail cost-benefit analysis immediately
- ◆ Off-loading maintenance to service provider can:
 - Decrease/remove this load
 - Lower overall maintenance calculations
 - Improve chances of positive cost-benefit analysis



Other Benefits

- ◆ As opposed to 20-year lifecycle, airports could contract for shorter periods
- ◆ Service providers could defer initial capital expenses by amortizing costs into contract life span:
 - Lower initial outlay by airport
 - Leveled costs over contract life
- ◆ Shorter contract periods also allow providers natural opportunities for hardware/technology updates



Challenges

- ◆ Any potential system must meet needs of the airport while providing a safety benefit
- ◆ Must pay close attention to system requirements development:
 - Possible to let requirements overrun intended airport audience
 - Need to control cost escalation from “should” requirements
- ◆ Vendors must:
 - Demonstrate ability of system to perform at desired level
 - Realize system is used for safety-critical applications
 - Provide service and maintenance to meet FAA’s safety standards



Conclusion

- ◆ Current surveillance systems are not available to “beyond-59” airports
- ◆ Taking a service provider approach may:
 - Allow for different levels of surveillance
 - Off-load maintenance costs
 - Increase likelihood of a system passing the cost-benefit analysis
 - ⇒ Provide airports the opportunity for surface surveillance where they currently have none
- ◆ In today’s budget environment, the FAA should be open to pursuit of novel solutions and practices

Discussion/Questions?



Contacts:

- ◆ Kirk Swanson - Architecture Technology Corp:
kswanson@atcorp.com 952-829-5864
- ◆ Dan Hicok - Federal Aviation Administration:
dan.hicok@faa.gov 202-385-8760